UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,932	12/12/2003	Chi Fai Ho	IPLN.P0001C	2247
Peter Tong	7590 09/19/200	8	EXAMINER	
1807 Limetree l			LEIVA, FRANK M	
Mountain View, CA 94040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/734,932	HO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FRANK M. LEIVA	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Jul This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 47-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 52, 59, 61, 65 and 67 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 47-51,53-58,60 and 62-68 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	is/are withdrawn from considera	tion.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/19/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 July 2008 has been entered.

Acknowledgements

2. The examiner acknowledges cancellation of all previous pending claims and addition of new claims 47-68 in applicant's submission filed 18 July 2008.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Newly submitted claims 52, 55, 59, 61, 65 and 67 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 52, 59 and 65 are directed to the generation of new study material, which is further than just showing or displaying selected study materials and is independent from the elected invention of reviewing previous materials for memory reinforcement; claim 61 is directed toward the selection of new materials dependent on how long ago the previous material was viewed, independent of the review of previous materials; and claims 55 and 67 are directed to the grading and comparing multiple users to each other and selecting study materials according to the comparison, not related and independent of the review of previously viewed materials.
- **4.** Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for

Art Unit: 3714

prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims **52**, **59**, **61**, **65** and **67** are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claims 47-51, 53-58, 60, 62-66 and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over MC&S.
- **6. Regarding claims 47, 57 and 63;** MC&S discloses a computer-implemented method and readable memory for helping a user learn, the method comprising:
- (a) allowing the user to access materials related to a subject to help the user learn, (page 7);
- (b) determining, based on at least two rules, which additional materials to present to the user, after the materials accessed by the user at (a) have been presented to the user, with the at least two rules having a conflict in view of an assessment of the user, and with the conflict resolved to determine which additional materials to present to the user (page12, {presentation of strands}), rules for giving specific strands include but not limited to grade level and understanding of the material; and
- (c) selecting least some of the materials accessed by the user at (a) for presentation to the user for a further time so that the user's memory on the materials can be refreshed, (Page 25), receive review exercises in the next session.
- 7. Regarding claim 48; MC&S discloses wherein at (c) the selecting of at least some of the materials accessed by the user at (a) for presentation to the user for the further time occurs after the additional materials determined at (b) have been presented to the user, (page 30), "Sam will receive review exercises in the next session.

Art Unit: 3714

8. Regarding claim 49; MC&S discloses wherein the method further comprises having at least some of the accessed materials transmitted via a network to a device to be presented to the user, and wherein the network includes a private network and a public network, (page167), computer system, management system.

- **9.** Regarding claim 51; MC&S discloses wherein the at least some of the materials accessed by the user at (a) can be repeatedly selected for presentation to the user, but if the time elapsed is more than a predetermined duration of time, the at least some of the materials accessed by the user at (a) are no longer selected, (table 2), system will no longer repeat subject after all available tries have been presented.
- **10.** Regarding claim 53; MC&S discloses wherein the at least some of the materials accessed by the user at (a) can be repeatedly selected for presentation to the user, (page 30 and table 2).
- **11. Regarding claims 54, 60 and 68;** MC&S discloses wherein the additional materials determined to be presented to the user at (b), also relate to the subject, (table 1), shows the lessons being of the same subject (math).
- **12. Regarding claims 56, 62 and 66;** MC&S discloses wherein resolving the conflict between the at least two rules involves favoring one of the rules aver another one of the rules, (table 2), showing a set of rules with a hierarchy.
- 13. Regarding claims 50, 58 and 64; MC&S discloses all the limitations of claims 47, 57 and 63 as applied above, yet is silent on triggers that would tag the lessons for review. Whereas Kerwin discloses wherein the at least some of the materials accessed by the user at (a) are selected at (c) for presentation to the user for the further time depending on a time elapsed from when the user accesses the materials at (a), (col. 4:63 col. 5:3), suggest that the number of repetitions is calculated by the system by having certain requirements, as is also mentioned by MC&S there is a determination of

Application/Control Number: 10/734,932

Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

delaying certain repetitions for reinforcement. A person of ordinary skill in the art upon reading Kerwin would also have recognized that the there are a limited number of triggers that could be used to determined the scheduling of the repeated subjects, including but not limited to the already disclosed difficulty levels, skill level attained, criticality of the subject in order to continue to next level or time elapsed since last reviewed. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to try any number of permutations of triggers in the creation of the scheduled repetitions, in as much as the fact that the system would inherently require a trigger in order to place the repetition in the scheduled studies. Not only a trigger is necessary but it would create the predictable result of delaying the review of the materials to a later time.

14. Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited paragraphs and figures in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANK M. LEIVA whose telephone number is (571)272-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:30am - 5:pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert E Pezzuto/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

FML 09/13/2008